

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,950		11/25/2003	Russell Alan Parker	10030712-1	9616
22878	7590	07/19/2005		EXAMINER	
		NOLOGIES, INC	PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
P.O. BOX		PROPERTY ADMI	NISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S DL429	9			1762	
LOVELAN	ID, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/h/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/722,950	PARKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	April 2005.		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-18,25-29 and 40-56</u> is/are p	pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	• ''		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-18,25-29 &amp; 40-56</u> are subje	ect to restriction and/or election	on requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure</li> </ol>	· ·	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.	
	·		
(ttachment(s)			
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	
	T) Links view St		,
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	′

He

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/722,950

Art Unit: 1762

1. With the clarification and amendment of the claims, the old species requirement no longer make much sense, but as shown by the previous set of rejections, the techniques claimed cover a wide variety of distinct procedures, hence a new set of species requirements is made below based on the clarified claims.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species (A) deposition (i.e., a permanent coating on the gasket),

subspecies: (i) laser deposit;

(ii) oxide form at functionalization;

Species (B) etching or extraction,

subspecies: (i) solvents-a) aqueous;

-b) organic-polar;

-c) organic-nonpolar;

(ii) oxygen etch;

(iii) plasma etch (other than oxygen);

Species (C) modifying without necessary addition or subtraction of material to gasket,

subspecies: (i) texturing;

(ii) plasma.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1, 16, 28 and 53 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any

Art Unit: 1762

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Please pick one species (A) or (B) or (C), and a subspecies thereunder.

Note that generic "modifying" is considered to include all forms of coating, etching, changing surface texture, etc. Process, such as claim 25 and 56 do not appear to add or subtract any material from the original gasket, but seem to be using the particles as a sort of template for texturing the gasket. For oxidizing claims, it is not certain as written whether they are coating or etching. Since the material of the gasket is unspecified, it could be either, as oxidation can add a coating of oxide or O-containing functionalization to a surface, or it can cause decomposition such as "ashing" or combustion via an oxidation process. At present, the intended result of the oxidation cannot be determined, i.e. without knowing the material being treated, what effect is taking place can not be determined, hence is uncertain.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1762

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for species A or B or C is not required for the other species, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Timothy Meeks can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. L. Padgett/af July 1, 2005 July 18, 2005

MARIANNE PADGETT